Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARIA JESUS ANELLO,

Plaintiff,

v.

NANCY A. BERRYHILL.

Defendant.

Case No. 18-cv-00070-DMR

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF LEAVE TO FILE A THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT

Re: Dkt. No. 71

Defendant Nancy A. Berryhill, Acting Commissioner of the Social Security Administration filed a motion to dismiss Plaintiff Maria Anello's second amended complaint ("SAC") which was set for hearing on May 23, 2019. [Docket No. 65.] On May 20, 2019, after the parties had fully briefed the motion, the court vacated the hearing pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-1(b). [Docket No. 70.] The next day, Plaintiff filed a motion requesting leave to file a response to Defendant's reply brief, in which she states that she has visited the Pro Bono Project seeking "legal advice on how to cure any defects in [her] claim," and that she was prepared to offer additional arguments and facts in support of the SAC at the now-vacated hearing on the motion to dismiss. [Docket No. 71.] Defendant has not filed a response to Plaintiff's motion.

Plaintiff describes facts in her motion for leave to file a response that have not been alleged in previous versions of her complaint or any other filing. The court orders the following: Defendant's motion to dismiss the SAC is denied without prejudice. The court grants Plaintiff one final opportunity to plead her best case in a third amended complaint that includes all relevant facts supporting her claims. Any third amended complaint must be filed by June 14, 2019 and must be a complete statement of Plaintiff's claims. Plaintiff may not refer to or incorporate by reference any prior filings, and may not re-plead any claims that have been dismissed with prejudice. See Lacey v. Maricopa Cty., 693 F.3d 896, 928 (9th Cir. 2012) ("For

United States District Court Northern District of California

claims dismissed with prejudice and without leave to amend, we will not require that they be repled in a subsequent amended complaint to preserve them for appeal.").

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 29, 2019

